### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

## **PCT**

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

To:	
STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT Kaiser-Wilhelm-Platz 1 45470 Mülheim an der Ruh ALLEMAGNE	r KOHLE MBH r Eingegangen 17, Aug. 2006
TO ATO CONTINUE AND CONTINUE AN	NOTTEICATION

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 03 August 2006 (03.08.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference A 394

International application No. PCT/DE2004/002347

International filing date (day/month/year) 20 October 2004 (20.10.2004)

**Applicant** 

STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT KOHLE MBH et al

1.	<b>Transmittal</b>	of the	translation	to the a	pplicant
<b>-</b> -	I I WILDHIIL WILL	or me	m and a don	to the ti	ррисань

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on ~ patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

### Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

#### None

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

#### Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Ellen Moyse

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference A 394	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/DE2004/002347	International filing date (day/month/year) 20 October 2004 (20.10.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 22 October 2003 (22.10.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8t See relevant information in Form	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT KOHI	LE MBH		

1.	This international preliminary r International Searching Author	eport on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International lity under Rule 44 bis.1(a).	Bureau on behalf of the	
2.	In the attached sheets, any refer	al of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.  Tence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authoreport on patentability (Chapter I) instead.	ority should be read as a reference	
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	•	
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, invenapplicability	tive step and industrial	
	Box No: IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to nove applicability; citations and explanations supporting such sta	<del>-</del>	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.		ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance wit makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration		

	Date of issuance of this report 27 July 2006 (27.07.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer  Ellen Moyse
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt05@wipo.int

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORI	ITY		
To:			PCT
			RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
·			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See Form PCT/ISA/210 (sheet 2)
Applicant's or agent's file reference  A 394		FOR FURTHER A	
International application No.	International filing date (		Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/DE2004/002347	20.10.2004	aa ji iiwaa ji saa. j	22.10.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both C08L101/00, C09K11/77  Applicant STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT K			
1 This opinion contains indications relat			
1. This opinion contains indications related		<b>.</b>	
Box No. I Basis of the o	opinion		
Box No. II Priority			
Box No. III Non-establish	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of unity	y of invention	•	·
201110.	atement under Rule 43bis.; citations and explanation	, , , , ,	novelty, inventive step or industrial . ement
Box No. VI Certain docu	ments cited		
Box No. VII Certain defec	cts in the international app	plication	
Box No. VIII Certain obser	rvations on the internation	nal application	•
2. FURTHER ACTION			•
International Preliminary Examining A	Authority ("IPEA") except chosen IPEA has notified	t that this does not app the International Bure	l be considered to be a written opinion of the ply where the applicant chooses an Authority other eau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of
	riate, with amendments,	before the expiration	a, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form expires later.
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA	¥/220.		
3. For further details, see notes to Form P	<b>СТ/ISA/220.</b>		•
Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP		Authorized officer	
Manie and mained address of the ISAMEL	•	Authorized office	
Facsimile No		Telephone No	

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/002347

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was inless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed on, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	ype of material .
	[	a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	ormat of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	ime of filing/furnishing
	[	contained in the international application as filed.
	Ţ	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	[	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3		n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or
٥.		urnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as ited or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addit	onal comments:
	_	
	•	
		<i>;</i>

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/002347

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	8-13	Y
	Claims	1-7, 14, 15	N
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	8-13	Y
	Claims	1-7, 14, 15	N
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-15	Y
	Claims	, 100 1-1-1	N.

2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 00/56837

D2: US 6596600 B1

- 1. The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1), because the subject matter of claims 1-7, 14, 15 is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).
- 2. Document D1 discloses a luminescent composite material containing nanoparticles and luminescent devices produced therefrom (page 2, lines 2-22; page 9, lines 13-23; page 5, lines 23-29; page 9, line 29 to page 10, line 6; claims). The abovementioned nanoparticles are preferably lanthanidedoped phosphors (page 3, lines 19-23; examples 1 and 2, table 1). The subject matter of claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 14, 15 is not novel with respect to D1.
- 3. Document D2 discloses a polycarbonate plastic glass which contains ZnS nanoparticles (column 11, line 65

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/002347

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

to column 13, line 40, example 2 in table 1). Claims 1-5, 7 are also not novel with respect to D2.

- 4. Document D1 is considered the closest prior art to the subject matter of claims 8-13. However, D1 gives only a general indication as to the process for producing the luminescent plastic glass, according to which any process known to a person skilled in the art is suitable. Therefore, the subject matter of claims 8-13 is novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).
- 5. The processes which are known to a person skilled in the art, however, normally consist in the particles being mixed with the polymer melt in a kneader or extruder. In the process of claim 8, by contrast, the particles are first of all mixed with a polymer precursor or a solution of the polymer precursor, before the mixture obtained is then polymerized. This solution involves an inventive step, because a person skilled in the art will not find any suggestion in D1 which leads to the process according to claim 8. Therefore, claims 8-13 involve an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3).